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In 1830 the Lancaster, Ohio school board observed with one voice that if God had meant "his creatures" to travel at the "frightful speed of 15 miles per hour He would have foretold it through His holy prophets." Such speed was the "device of Satan to lead immortal souls to hell."{1} Twenty-five years later English tourist Charles Weld recorded a harrowing journey he made from Cumberland, Md. to Washington, D.C. Trying to make up for time lost in delays, the conductor pressed the train faster than Weld thought wise. Soon the train lurched from side to side with such violence that the cars began to come apart around the passengers. Weld remonstrated with the conductor about the speed, but received no satisfaction. To almost no one's surprise, the train eventually jumped the tracks. Emerging from the wreck (lucky to be alive), Weld again castigated the conductor, but to his amazement none of the other passengers joined him: indeed, most applauded the conductor's efforts to recoup the lost time.{2}

How can we reconcile these two stories? Initial misgivings about haste in transit aside, Americans came to embrace speed to an extent that disconcerted visitors to the U.S. in the nineteenth century. By mid-century speed had acquired an unquestioned place among the elements of progress for many Americans. The way in which Americans by the late twentieth century took rapid transportation for granted makes exploration of the subject difficult: so ubiquitous is the desire for speed in transit, and its importance so assumed, that few think to explain why it is that haste in transit is so important to Americans’ daily life.

There was something distinct in the American desire for speed, a desire that knew few bounds and which became vested with a degree of nobility. This peculiarity stemmed from a number of influences: a Protestantism that, by the beginning of the nineteenth century, was already distinct from its European ancestry--and became more so as the years passed; the nation’s geography and topography, a nation whose size dwarfed all of Western Europe; a social fluidity that fascinated and perturbed foreign visitors unaccustomed to loosely-defined classes; and the opportunities that came with the founding of a new government. With all this in mind, to Americans the quest for speed was not simply a convenience, a distraction, or a thrill: it was a virtue.

There are several reasons for this development to consider. The immensity of the country is perhaps the most obvious. Travel time between Baltimore and Washington, D.C., in 1812, the year in which the first steamboat, the New Orleans, began plying the waters of the Mississippi, took a three-horse coach a day-and-a-half. {3} Riders traveling from Providence to Boston, a trip of fifty miles over long established roads, had to settle for a journey of just under five hours. "If anyone wants to go faster," wrote one traveler to a friend in 1822, "he may send to Kentucky and charter a streak of lightning."{4} Nevertheless, we cannot account for this desire for a speedy voyage simply by nodding to the expansive territory Americans progressively occupied and the enormous distances they had to cross, however real those
observations: that does not, by itself, account for a readiness to risk everything.

Another way to approach the matter is through the American quest for efficiency. Efficiency in commerce was central to the argument Robert Fulton advanced in his early advocacy of the Erie Canal in 1814. Efficient communication in order to effect sound government was the concern of James Madison and Albert Gallatin lest the country's size compromise the form of government itself. Ann Archbold went west as a missionary in 1849. On her return she noted pointedly of her voyage down the Missouri aboard the steamboat Cora that "the serious part of all denominations among us lamented the waste of time, and devoutly wished that our young friends would find more substantial joys as they were swiftly gliding down the stream of time to the great ocean of eternity." {5} Compelling as a quest for efficient use of time or money may be, it does not, by itself, adequately explain why Americans were willing to risk their lives and limbs, though it is part of the story.

The American embrace of capitalism is sometimes considered the primary motivation behind this quest for speed. Speed in transit, goes this argument, came as a simple by-product of the demand for quick access to markets, the better to close deals. There is no doubt that the economic system of the United States played a role in justifying both the pursuit of speed and the costs involved. But this alone cannot adequately explain the compulsion for speed when we stop to consider that, as the nineteenth century advanced, for many of the travelers on the country's transportation networks speed was not strictly essential to the conclusion of their business, whatever that might have been.

When considering speed in the American context we benefit most by conceiving of it as a route to virtue, and eventually a virtue itself. In the context of nineteenth century American society and culture it is what speed afforded that made it a virtuous pursuit. By conceiving of speed as a virtue--and then only because of what it made possible--could a populace move from reasoned concern to its thorough embrace. In the course of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries speed gained in value through its association with a variety of basic tenets of American virtue. These included progress, a firm belief in the wise use of God-given time, and the importance of productivity to the individual as well as to the commonweal. Going fast became a measure of good, of progress and civilization, just as surely as going slow became synonymous with inefficiency, unproductive use of time, wastefulness, and indeed, sin.

Virtue

The most common usage of the term “virtue” in the decades on either side of the American Revolution connected virtue with public service, specifically republican government, and in the American context, the burden of government rested on the shoulders of the citizenry. {6} In the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries virtue reposed with those of special status in society, church ministers and the wealthy individuals who gave themselves over to public service. But the process of democratization in the years subsequent to the Erie Canal broadened the category of individual considered suitable for public service, and associated with virtue. In achieving the responsibilities of democracy the common man could also appropriate the virtuous qualities that once defined the responsibilities of, and that had belonged to, those who executed the offices and duties of public service. In a culture that had little time or patience for inherited privileges and titles, almost the only thing left with which to wrap oneself was the idea of virtue, or sacrificial service to the commonweal.

"He who is an active and useful member of society, who contributes his share to augment the national wealth and increase the numbers of the population,” observed
mid-century French tourist Maurice Chevalier, “he only is looked upon with respect and favor. A man who has no profession enjoys little consideration.”{7}

As a result, virtue implicitly contained elements of individual determination, independence, and the making of personal wealth. Economic independence formed a vital part of arguments for self-rule, for economic independence helped secure the personal independence so necessary to the idea of civic responsibility.{8}

But in the early decades of the nineteenth century virtue gradually lost much of its connection to classical republicanism, civic duty, and the common good. Instead, economic productivity and industriousness became the criteria for virtue as it moved from the "realm of public activity to the sphere of private character." In the context of the early American republic civic virtue relied on individual and economic independence to ensure the uncorrupted exercise of political participation. One needed wealth (property) to be able to demonstrate and practice virtue (politics or public service). Ultimately, the pursuit of wealth became tantamount to a quest for virtue. But this was initially tinged with moral dubiousness: the implication was that those deeply set in business, manifestly driven by self-interest, "were not proper candidates for public office."{9} The inherent conflict between virtue and commerce can be summarized simply: commerce emphasized liberty over obligation, rights over duty, and most important, the self over the whole. Commercial values challenged the benefit of sacrifice, arguing that public good came through efforts at private gain. The role that speed plays in all this is often difficult to discern yet it is a significant one, for speed accommodates these conflicting impulses, thereby becoming valuable to both sides of the issue. The quest for speed became firmly connected to this new virtue of industriousness just as surely as it had ensured the success of the new republic by enabling communication over long distances. Now to tarry between places was to lose opportunity for improvement, growth, expansion, profit, progress, success--personal as well as national--in short, to impede progress. In time, public good was deemed to be the result of the efforts at private gain, proving correct, it seemed, eighteenth century writer Bernard Mandeville.{10}

During the course of the nineteenth century the pursuit of efficiency for the sake of good government or the commonweal and the pursuit of efficiency for personal economic benefit came to be interchangeable. Nestled within this was the matter of human stewardship of God-given time: thus, speed and efficiency were intimately associated with good, sound government, capitalist acquisitiveness, and the careful use of God's gift of time on Earth. The quest for one was as good as the quest for the others in the minds of most Americans.

Americans in the nineteenth century increasingly took advances in technology as evidence of national virtues even while its dictates disconcerted some. But what constituted progress? Extension of the republican experiment was certainly one definition. To that end, geographic expansion was both necessary and good, and new transportation technology not only facilitated continental expansion; it seemed to do so without sacrificing the virtues of republican government.{11} Speed was the very essence of this technology: it would allow the practice of republican values far and wide, and it would help extend the base for the experiment. At the same time speed would enhance the condition of the citizenry through the growth of commerce and travel. Furthermore, speed in transportation hastened the spread of civilization, a cause in-and-of-itself by century's end. Speed, then, embodied a moral imperative.

As the real speed of transportation picked up, and differences in transit times between wagon and train, flat-boat and steamboat, or train and airplane are material, employing anything but the fastest means of transportation suggested a squandering
of precious time. Such a mindset only works if one subscribes to a Christian cosmology or a capitalist framework, something most Americans professed to do. Christian ministers throughout the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries regularly promoted the fruits of labor and commerce, even while reminding their audiences as something of an afterthought of the attendant obligations to their lessfortunate fellow citizens. By late in the nineteenth century, according to many of the most revered divines, making money was no mean labor, and making a great deal of it bore no particular odium. Speed was important to those in quest of fortune just as wise use of time was in the best interests of the devout Christian. To divines speed was virtuous for reasons other than for the good government that communication enhanced, or for the wealth which then ensured independence; speed connoted wise use of time. Such ministers as Hendry Boardman, Russel Conwell and Amos A. Lawrence instructed their audience (for they reached far more than just their parishioners) that a connection existed between wealth and godliness. (It was the latter who famously wrote that "Godliness is in league with riches."\(^{12}\)) Even if they did not explicitly state that speed was good, reaching the end goal of wealth invariably meant getting someplace as fast as possible, the better to cement one's chance at fortune. The link between speed and the fruits and promises within the messages of the divines cannot be ignored. Disentangling the religious value of speed from the economic and political valuation, and separating the pursuit of private wealth from public good became increasingly difficult in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. This is partly because over time other interests co-opted the virtues of speed. Efficiency, for instance, itself not necessarily related to speed, became its synonym, absorbing the former's virtuous attributes in the process. If public virtue was measured by the likes of George Washington or Benjamin Franklin in 1800, Andrew Carnegie and John D. Rockefeller were its paragons by 1900. Speed was instrumental in redefining virtue, for it bonded disparate, sometimes antithetical, interests and it did so by being a virtue to all possible parties.

Figure 1:

Explosion of the steamboat Moselle near Cincinnati, Ohio, 25 April 1838.

The Moselle

On Wednesday, April 25, 1838, between four and five o'clock in the afternoon, the steamboat Moselle exploded several miles up-river from Cincinnati, Oh. So violent was the explosion that it hurled passengers and crewmembers far through the air, many landing on the riverbank. At least one man came to rest halfway through a house roof on the bluff facing the river; the captain was found dead on shore. Many not thrown from the wreckage by the explosion were severely scalded, the less fortunate of whom did not die instantly. The estimated list of casualties ran high: 81 killed; 55
missing; 13 badly wounded. Only 117 passengers survived the disaster.\{13\} After boarding passengers at Cincinnati's waterfront the Moselle had been driven upriver to load additional deck passengers heading to St. Louis and points beyond. The disaster occurred in full view of those on shore who had gathered to watch the departure of a magnificent machine already gathering fame for its speed.

Americans blithely rode machines like the Moselle throughout the nineteenth century, ready to accept a startling amount of mayhem in return for a quick voyage. Steamboating continued to thrive during the century despite the frequency of such events and observers, then as now, concluded by way of an explanation that Americans were in a remarkable hurry.

The Moselle's plight was not unusual. Steamboats had met with disasters since their introduction, both on western rivers and in the coastal waters. The first steamboat to carry commercial traffic on the Mississippi, the New Orleans, struck a submerged snag and sank near Baton Rouge, La, in 1812, barely seven months after entering service.\{14\} Branches and logs periodically thrust through the floor of the boat by the paddle wheel occasionally killed sleeping passengers.\{15\} The steamer Washington under the command of Henry Shreve himself, exploded in 1816 with a full complement of crew, scalding many whom, if they survived the blast, drowned as the boat foundered. In 1833 the steamboat New England exploded just off the city of Essex, Ct, with disastrous results considering the load of passengers it carried.\{16\} Just as commonly, steamboats caught fire without any preceding explosion, making travel on the rivers and coastal waterways a perpetual risk. Western steamboats were notorious for a seeming indifference to careful stowage of freight. In 1858 the Pennsylvania exploded and caught fire. In its hold not far from the engines were barrels of turpentine that joined the conflagration. "The sober truth," wrote John A. Roebling, "is that steamboat running is a bold game between life and death all the time."\{17\}

The day following the explosion of the Moselle, and only after the living had been sorted from the dead, the mayor of Cincinnati convened a public meeting to examine the cause of the disaster. As the most professionally qualified man around, John Locke, professor of chemistry at the nearby Medical College of Ohio, performed the primary analysis of the accident. He concluded that the safety valve on the boiler had been weighted down while the boat lay alongside the shore, effectively undermining the valve's purpose because there could be no emergency release of steam as the pressure in the boiler mounted.\{18\}

At the time of the disaster the Moselle had made only three trips yet she was already known as a "brag boat," a desirable appellation for those seeking a quick trip "and passengers chose to embark on this boat in preference to others."\{19\} The local newspapers had eagerly reported on the Moselle's accomplishments to that point. The day before the explosion Cincinnatians could read of the most recent, and only second voyage of the Moselle, during which the passengers urged the captain on in his attempt to establish a new speed record, which he did.\{20\} In the wake of steamboat disasters newspapers sometimes apologized for encouraging passengers to select the fastest boat for their transit. In the case of the Moselle, contrition set in during the days that followed. At least one newspaper confessed to encouraging the celebration of speed-seekers. "We, in part, take blame, we plead guilty, in common with other presses, of having praised the speed and power of the boat--circumstances that doubtless contributed to inflate the ambitions of its captain and owners," wrote E. W. Gould in his compendium of river travel in the United States.\{21\}

Reports of steamboat sinkings and explosions circulated up and down the
rivers and appeared in the newspapers of river towns and cities, and passengers frequently read dreadful news about boats they knew of. Few, if any, were unacquainted with the risks involved in steamboat travel. To be sure, death, whether by disease or accident, was a familiar part of life for Americans in the nineteenth century: when disaster struck few paused to do more than record the event or the loss of a loved-one. \footnote{22} Lady Emmeline Wortley traveled on stagecoaches and steamboats and found Americans inured to the risks. The vessel on which she was a passenger came upon the steamer \textit{Empire} that went down only two days before her boat arrived on the scene. She struggled to reconcile the actions of Americans with her own culture, asking rhetorically: "Whence arises this indifference to human life in so flourishing and prosperous a community?"

It is that they are tyrannized over by a very despotic taskmaster--Mammon. Indeed, money-getting, which is certainly in most countries a great business, appears here to me almost a battle. It seems as if they must win, do or die, and the dead on the field are trodden under foot by their eager comrades and competitors. ... to make money seems a sort of duty in Americans--the great object of living. \footnote{23}

In the minds of many Americans, society's progress depended on the betterment of the individual, whose condition would be marked principally by economic improvement. Commerce was one of the "great means of civilizing and improving mankind," wrote George W. Burnap, and those "such as the merchants who sought their profits were equivalent to generals at the heads of armies, equally the instruments in the hands of a higher Power of ministering to the gradual improvement of the world." \footnote{24} Herman Haupt was more blunt: "Time is money, and the value of time is so fully understood and appreciated, that no tax imposed upon travellers within reasonable limits will drive them from the railroad." \footnote{sic} It matters not that he spoke of the railroad in 1855; the motive was the same. \footnote{25}

Transportation had long been the purview of the wealthy, wrote Michael Chevalier of his tour in the United States to his countrymen in France, but modern communication not only promoted real, positive, and practical liberty, it is to extend to all the members of the human family the power of moving about and using the world which has been given to all as a common patrimony. I would go further: it is to establish equality and democracy. The effect of the most perfect system of transportation is to reduce the distance not only between different places, but between different classes. \footnote{26}

In short, speedy communication was virtuous for the nation. Existing American conceptions of republican government relied on individual independence as the basis of democratic government, which in turn, hinged on financial security. And of course, in a society that actively rejected traditional European social hierarchies, money became almost the sole means of measuring social rank. Speed promised to fulfill so much of Americans' notions of virtue that even at its riskiest it remained virtuous. Argentine tourist Domingo Sarmiento's comments are further illustration of this emerging commercial virtue. Sarmiento was deeply, if uncritically, impressed with the United States and the American character. Like many visitors to the U.S., he was struck by the profession of democracy and equality, the results of which were profound. His comments reflect his discipleship of Franklin, though his conclusions are more of the period than of his hero's.

Avarice is the legitimate daughter of equality, while fraud comes (strange as it may seem) from liberty itself. The American fights with nature and endures hardships in order to arrive at the supreme good which his social position makes him covet: comfort. And if morality gets in the way when he is about to reach his goal, is there
anything strange in putting it aside in order to pass by or in giving it a push if it persist
in interfering?{27}

We find an echo of this in the comments of other tourists at the time, such as
Aleksander Borisovich Lakier, a Russian who visited the United States in the mid
1850s. Writing for his fellow countrymen, Lakier described wealth as a route to
privilege in the United States—the privilege to "elect and be elected." This wealth
secured the right to suffrage, but it also denoted those eligible to serve the public. This
is the transference of virtue from the long-established, traditional repositories to
anyone with the money—the rabble, the everyman.{28}

If we turn to the official report of the Moselle disaster we find within it an
indication of popular values that made speed a desirable, virtuous undertaking. The
deaths from the Moselle explosion, read the report, resulted from
an excess of those things which are in themselves laudable. They have their
foundation in the present mammoth evil of our country, the inordinate love of gain. We
are not satisfied with getting rich, we must get rich in a day. We are not satisfied with
traveling with a speed of ten miles per hour, but we must fly. Such is the effect of
competition that everything must be done cheap; boiler iron must be made cheap,
travelling must be done cheap, freight must be cheap and yet every thing must be
speedy. A steamboat must establish a reputation of a few minutes "swifter" in a
hundred miles than others, before she can make fortunes fast enough to satisfy the
owners. And while it is so, is it strange that ambitious young men should run the same
risk which they would run for the post of honor in the battle field? That they should
jeopard every thing, even life itself, for that which has become a very deity?{29}

No matter the public contrition: barely had the wreck from the Moselle
explosion been sifted and the dead buried than the United States Post Office offered
$500 in gold to the first steamboat captain who would carry the mail from New Orleans
to Louisville in less than six days.{30} "Every man is either just in from Cincinnati or
Chicago, or he is starting for one of these places," observed British tourist J. Milton
Mackie. "Unless he makes his hundred miles between breakfast and dinner, he counts
himself an idler and talks of growing rusty. I saw in the West no signs of quiet
enjoyment of life, only a haste to get rich. Here are no idlers."{31}

By mid-century Herman Melville, though himself somewhat disconcerted by
the course he saw his country taking, nevertheless wrote: "we Americans are the
peculiar, chosen people—the Israel of our time; we bear the ark and the liberties of the
world." With a perspective such as this almost anything or any action can be imbued
with noble significance. Even those things which might seem at first selfish, tarnished,
or dubious in character were redeemed in light of the larger moral cause. "Almost for
the first time in the history of the earth," continued Melville, "national selfishness is
unbounded philanthropy. We cannot do a good to America, but we give alms to the
world."{32}

It is good to keep in mind the importance of earthly time to Americans of the
nineteenth century, largely products of Protestantism and a political revolution that they
were. They had little patience with the past, and little interest in anything but the
present and future, for it was there that their destiny lay and they were in a hurry to
fulfill it. In answering a question, one American in 1850 summed up the reasons behind
the cultural desire for speed and all that it made possible. "We don't vally [sic] these
things in this country," he said of his ancestors, and the past in general. "It's what's
above ground, not what's under, that we think on."{33} And Americans had much to
think on when it came to their apparently divinely-sanctioned destiny; not only was all
the world watching, but all the world waited, like Lazarus, for the crumbs to fall from the
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